Executive Officer a Detailed TRE Work Plan, which shall follow the generic Initial Investigation TRE Work Plan revised as appropriate for this toxicity event. It shall include the following information, and comply with additional conditions set by the Executive Officer:

- i. Further actions by the Discharger to investigate, identify, and correct the causes of toxicity.
- ii. Actions the Discharger will take to mitigate the effects of the discharge and prevent the recurrence of toxicity.
- iii. A schedule for these actions, progress reports, and the final report.
- b. TIE Implementation. The Discharger may initiate a TIE as part of a TRE to identify the causes of toxicity using the same species and test method and, as guidance, USEPA manuals: Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations: Phase I Toxicity Characterization Procedures (EPA/600/6-91/003, 1991); Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations, Phase II Toxicity Identification Procedures for Samples Exhibiting Acute and Chronic Toxicity (EPA/600/R-92/080, 1993); Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations, Phase III Toxicity Confirmation Procedures for Samples Exhibiting Acute and Chronic Toxicity (EPA/600/R-92/081, 1993); and Marine Toxicity Identification Evaluation (TIE): Phase I Guidance Document (EPA/600/R-96-054, 1996). The TIE should be conducted on the species demonstrating the most sensitive toxicity response.
- c. Many recommended TRE elements parallel required or recommended efforts for source control, pollution prevention, and storm water control programs. TRE efforts should be coordinated with such efforts. As toxic substances are identified or characterized, the Discharger shall continue the TRE by determining the sources and evaluating alternative strategies for reducing or eliminating the substances from the discharge. All reasonable steps shall be taken to reduce toxicity to levels consistent with toxicity evaluation parameters.
- d. The Discharger shall continue to conduct routine effluent monitoring for compliance determination purposes while the TIE and/or TRE is taking place. Additional accelerated monitoring and TRE work plans are not required once a TRE has begun.
- e. The Regional Water Board recognizes that toxicity may be episodic and identification of causes and reduction of sources of toxicity may not be successful in all cases. The TRE may be ended at any stage if monitoring finds there is no longer toxicity.

9. Reporting

The Self-Monitoring Report (SMR) shall include a full laboratory report for each toxicity test. This report shall be prepared using the format and content of the test methods manual chapter called Report Preparation, and shall include:

- a. The valid toxicity test results for the TST statistical approach, reported as "Pass" or "Fail" and "Percent Effect" at the chronic toxicity IWC for the discharge. All toxicity test results (whether identified as valid or otherwise) conducted during the calendar month shall be reported on the SMR due date specified in Table E-16.
- b. Summary water quality measurements for each toxicity test (e.g. pH, dissolved oxygen, temperature, conductivity, hardness, salinity, chlorine, ammonia).

- c. The statistical analysis used in National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Test of Significant Toxicity Implementation Document (EPA 833-R-10-003, 2010) Appendix A, Figure A-1 and Table A-1, and Appendix B, Table B-1.
- d. TRE/TIE results. The Executive Officer shall be notified no later than 30 days from completion of each aspect of TRE/TIE analyses. Prior to completion of the final TIE/TRE report, the Discharger shall provide status updates in the monthly monitoring reports, indicating which TIE/TRE steps are underway and which steps have been completed.
- Statistical program (e.g., TST calculator, Comprehensive Environmental Toxicity Information System, etc.) output results, including graphical plots, for each toxicity test.
- f. Graphical plots and tabular data clearly showing the laboratory's performance of the reference toxicant, for each solution, for the previous 20 tests and the laboratory's performance of the control mean, control standard deviation, and control coefficient of variation, for each solution, for the previous 12-month period.
- g. Any additional QA/QC documentation or any additional chronic toxicity-related information, upon written request of the Regional Water Board Chief Deputy Executive Officer or Executive Officer.

B. Ammonia Removal

- 1. Except with prior approval from the Executive Officer of the Regional Water Board, ammonia shall not be removed from bioassay samples. The Discharger must demonstrate the effluent toxicity is caused by ammonia because of increasing test pH when conducting the toxicity test. It is important to distinguish the potential toxic effects of ammonia from other pH sensitive chemicals, such as certain heavy metals, sulfide, and cyanide.
 - a. The following may be steps to demonstrate that the toxicity is caused by ammonia and no other toxicants before the Executive Officer would allow for control of pH in the test. There is consistent toxicity in the effluent and the maximum pH in the toxicity test is in the range to cause toxicity due to increased pH.
 - b. Chronic ammonia concentrations in the effluent are greater than 4 mg/L total ammonia.
 - c. Conduct graduated pH tests as specified in the toxicity identification evaluation methods. For example, mortality should be higher at pH 8 and lower at pH 6.
 - d. Treat the effluent with a zeolite column to remove ammonia. Mortality in the zeolite treated effluent should be lower than the non-zeolite treated effluent. Then add ammonia back to the zeolite-treated samples to confirm toxicity due to ammonia.
- When it has been demonstrated that toxicity is due to ammonia because of increasing test pH, pH may be controlled using appropriate procedures which do not significantly alter the nature of the effluent, after submitting a written request to the Regional Water Board, and receiving written permission expressing approval from the Executive Officer of the Regional Water Board.

C. Chlorine Removal

Chlorine may be removed from the OWTP effluent bioassay sampled from EFF-001B because there are no appropriate sampling locations that reflect dechlorinated conditions at the outfall

VI. LAND DISCHARGE MONITORING REQUIREMENTS - NOT APPLICABLE

VII. RECYCLING REQUIREMENTS

The reuse of the reclaimed water is regulated under a separate WDRs and Water Recycling Requirements (WRRs) for City of Oxnard Groundwater Recovery, Enhancement, and Treatment Program – Non-Potable Reuse Phase 1 Project (GREAT Program – Phase 1 Project), Order No. R4-2008-0083 as amended by Order No. R4-2011-0079, Order No. R4-2011-0079-A01, and Order No. R4-2011-0079-A02, File No. 64-104 and File No. 08-070, CI-9456.

VIII. RECEIVING WATER MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The City of Oxnard has been monitoring receiving water at Discharge Point 001 since 1999. Local receiving water trends over the 10-kilometer sample grid have generally remained steady and the 20-year record of data shows the Oxnard discharge plume is not sufficiently large to impact regional trends. Based on the most recent information from the federally-funded Southern California Coastal Ocean Observing System (SCCOOS), the Central Bight Cooperative Water Quality Survey is complete and no additional water quality monitoring is required at this time. Due to this reduction in regional water quality monitoring, this Order reduces the area sampled to improve information on the impact of the outfall and more accurately characterize the dilution which takes place at the outfall. However, the City of Oxnard shall continue to participate on the Central Bight Cooperative Water Quality Survey steering committee and provide assistance should this cooperative program undertake analysis of the collected data to contribute to a regional understanding of seasonal patterns in water column structure.

Receiving water shall continue to be monitored for local offshore water quality, shoreline bacteria, infaunal benthic community, sediment chemistry and toxicity, regional benthic health, local fish and macroinvertebrate population and tissue, regional fish and macroinvertebrate health, local seafood safety, regional seafood safety, and kelp bed health. If annual reporting and comparative studies identify unanticipated water quality trends at the smaller one-kilometer grid size, the wider sample grid may be reinstated. All receiving water stations shall be located by state-of-the-art navigational methods (e.g. Differential Global Positioning System or DGPS); other means (e.g. visual triangulation, fathometer readings) may be used to improve the accuracy of locating stations. Water quality measurements are made with a Conductivity, Temperature and Depth Instrument (CTD), which also measures other parameters such as pH and light transmissivity.

Table E-7. Schedule for Receiving Water Monitoring Requirements

Monitoring	Number	Туре	R4-2013- 0094 Frequency	R4-2018- xxx Frequency	Reporting
Local offshore water quality	25	CTD, ammonia, bacteria		Quarterly	Annually
Regional offshore water quality	48	CTD and SCCWRP support	Quarterly		
Bacteria/ammonia offshore water quality	18/ three depths	Bacteria, ammonia	Quarterly		
Shoreline	9	Bacteria	Quarterly	Quarterly	Annually

Monitoring	Number	Туре	R4-2013- 0094 Frequency	R4-2018- xxx Frequency	Reporting
Local Benthic Infaunal community	7	Community and population	Annually	Year two	Year two
Local Sediment chemistry	7	Chemistry	Annually	Year two	Year two
Sediment toxicity	2	Amphipod	Annually	Year two	Year two
Regional Benthic SCCWRP support only		/RP support only	2013	2018, 2023	SCCWRP
Local fish macroinvertebrate	3	Population	Annually	Year two	Year two
Local fish macroinvertebrate	3	Tissue	Annually	Year two	Year two
Local bagged mussels	3	Tissue	Special Study	Year four	Year four
Regional fish and macroinvertebrate	SCCWRP support only		2013	2018, 2023	SCCWRP
Local seafood safety	5 species in 3 zones	Test against consumption advisories	Year one, three and five	Year four	Year four
Regional seafood safety	SCCWRP support only		2013	2018, 2023	SCCWRP
Kelp bed monitoring	SCCV	SCCWRP support only		2018, 2023	SCCWRP

A. Water Quality Monitoring

Water quality monitoring is designed to determine if Ocean Plan and Basin Plan objectives for physical and chemical parameters and bacteria are being met. Water quality data will be collected to provide the information necessary to demonstrate compliance with the water quality standards and to contribute to the Bight'18 and Bight'23 regional monitoring programs, led by SCCWRP. Sample collection for water quality monitoring shall follow protocols described in the most current edition of the *Field Operations Manual for Marine Water-Column, Benthic, and Trawl Monitoring in Southern California, SCCWRP.* Water quality data shall be analyzed to estimate spatial extent and movement of the wastewater plume over time. Changes in monitoring measurements shall be quantified each year, contrasted with the previous five years, and summarized annually.

1. Inshore/Offshore Monitoring - The Discharger shall monitor receiving water quality quarterly at 25 new core Receiving Water Column Monitoring Stations from A001 to E005 (Table E-2 and Attachment B-4) as follows.

Table E-8. Offshore Receiving Water Monitoring Requirements

Parameter	Units	Sample Type	Minimum Sampling Frequency	Required Analytical Test Method
Dissolved oxygen	mg/L	continuous profile	quarterly	2
Water temperature	°C	continuous profile	quarterly	20
Light transmittance	% transmittance	continuous profile	quarterly	18
Salinity	ppt	continuous profile	quarterly	2

Light transmittance (transmissivity) shall be measured with a transmissometer, using equipment and procedure similar to that described by L.V. Whitney [*Transmission of Solar Energy and the Scattering Produced by Suspensoids in Lake Waters*, Transactions of the Wisconsin Academy of Sciences, Arts, and Letters, Vol. 31 (1938)]. Results shall be expressed as the percent of light transmittance. Path length of transmissometer should be noted.

Parameter	Units	Sample Type	Minimum Sampling Frequency	Required Analytical Test Method
рН	pH units	continuous profile	quarterly	2
Chlorophyll a	μg/L	continuous profile	quarterly	2
Visual observations			quarterly	19
Total coliform	MPN or CFU/100 mL	grab, surface and mid-depth and near bottom ²⁰	quarterly	2
Fecal coliform	MPN or CFU/100 mL	grab, surface and mid-depth and near bottom ²¹	quarterly	2
Enterococcus	MPN or CFU/100 mL	grab, surface and mid-depth and near bottom ²¹	quarterly	2
Ammonia nitrogen	mg/L	grab, surface and mid-depth and near bottom ²¹	quarterly	2

Concurrent with the CTD profiling survey, discrete samples shall be collected quarterly for ammonia and fecal coliform, total coliform and *Enterococcus* at the surface, mid depth and near the bottom (or as deep as practicable for those stations located in depths less than 45 m). Sampling for bacteria is necessary to ensure the bacteria discharged at the outfall do not survive marine conditions and do not contribute to the bacteria identified by shoreline monitoring.

2. Shoreline Monitoring - The Discharger shall monitor receiving water quality at nine Ventura County Shoreline Bacteriological Monitoring Stations listed in Attachment B-8 for the constituents that follow:

Table E-9. Shoreline Receiving Water Monitoring Requirements for Bacteria

Parameter	Units	Sample Type	Minimum Sampling Frequency	Required Analytical Test Method
Total coliform	MPN or CFU/100 mL	grab	quarterly	2
Fecal coliform	MPN or CFU/100 mL	grab	quarterly	2
Enterococcus	MPN or CFU/100 mL	grab	quarterly	2

Visual observations shall be recorded when bacteriological samples are collected. Monitoring at these nine stations is conducted for the purposes of public health

Observations of wind (direction and speed), weather (e.g., cloudy, sunny, or rainy), current (e.g., direction), and tidal conditions (e.g., high or low tide) shall be made and recorded (every four hours during offshore sampling) at the time samples of the waters of the Pacific Ocean (shore, nearshore, and all offshore stations) are collected.

Observations of water color, discoloration, oil and grease, turbidity, odor, materials of sewage origin in the water or on the beach, and unusual or abnormal amounts of floating or suspended matter in the water or on the beach, rocks and jetties, or beach structures shall also be made and recorded at stations or while in transit. The character and extent of such matter shall be described. The dates, times and depths of sampling and these observations shall also be reported.

²⁰ Bottom sampling shall be done 2.0 m (6.6 ft) above the seabed.

assessment and to ensure protection for public recreational use of coastal ocean waters, and the results are not intended for use as compliance sites for OWTP, unless offshore bacteria monitoring demonstrates the outfall bacteria persist to the shoreline. In the event of stormy weather that makes sampling hazardous or impractical, these samples can be rescheduled.

B. Benthic Monitoring

Benthic monitoring includes infauna and sediment sampling. The data collected are used for the regular assessment of trends in sediment contamination and biological response along a fixed grid of sites within the influence of the discharge.

1. Local Benthic Monitoring - This survey is designed to determine if benthic conditions under the influence of the discharge are changing over time.

The Discharger shall monitor infauna, sediment chemistry and sediment toxicity at 7 receiving water benthic monitoring stations of RWS-001 to RWS-007 in year two of the permit and report changes in the conditions as compared to the historic and the most recent receiving water reports (See Table E-3 and Attachment B-5) in the year three annual report.

- a. Infaunal Community Survey These stations shall be sampled for benthic infauna²¹ during late summer (August/ September). Bottom samples for benthic infaunal analyses shall be taken at each benthic station prior to trawl sampling. The following determinations shall be made at each station, where appropriate;
 - i. Identificiation of all organisms to lowest possible taxon (usualy species); and,
 - ii. Total biomass of:
 - (a) Mollusks;
 - (b) Echinoderms;
 - (c) Annelids/polychaetes;
 - (d) Curstaceans; and,
 - (e) All other macroinvertebrates.
 - iii. Community structure²² analysis for benthic influana for each station and each replicate. Mean, median, range, standard deviation, and 95% confidence limits, if appropriate, for values determined above. The Discharger may use other statistical tools sufficient to determine temporal and spatial trends in the marine environment.
- b. Sediment Chemistry Survey -Testing shall be required for these parameters during late summer (August/ September). Bottom samples for sediment chemistry

²¹ These bottom samples shall be taken by means of a 0.1 m² (1.1 ft²) modified Van Veen sediment grab sampler. The entire contents of each sample shall be passed through a 1.0 mm (0.039 in.) mesh screen to retrieve the benthic organisms. These organisms shall be fixed in 10% buffered formalin and transferred to 70% ethanol within two to seven days for storage. All specimens retrieved shall be archived.

²² Community structure analysis of benthic infauna shall include number of species, number of individuals per species, total numerical abundance, species abundance per grab, species richness, species diversity (e.g., Shannon-Wiener), species evenness and dominance per station and replicate, similarity analyses (e.g., Bray-Curtis, Jaccard or Sorensen), cluster analyses (using unweighted pair-group method) or other appropriate multivariate statistical techniques approved by the Executive Officer of this Regional Water Board and the Infaunal Index.

analyses shall be taken at each benthic station prior to trawl sampling. All benthic sediment samples shall be taken at each station by means of a 0.1 m² (1.1 ft²) modified Van Veen sediment grab sampler. Sub-samples (upper two centimeters) of sediment from each sample shall be collected and analyzed separately for the following parameters at each station:

- i. Total organic carbon (TOC) (mg/kg dry wt);
- ii. Dissolved sulfides (water soluble) (mg/kg dry wt);
- Total Kjeldahl nitrogen (mg/kg dry wt);
- iv. Grain size (sufficiently detailed to calculate percent weight in relation to phisize); and,
- v. Arsenic; Cadmium; Chromium (total); Copper; Lead; Mercury; Nickel; Silver; Zinc; Cyanide; Phenolic compounds (non-chlorinated); Phenolic compounds (chlorinated); Total halogenated organic compounds; Aldrin and Dieldrin; Endrin; HCH; Chlordane and related compounds; Total DDT; DDT derivatives; Total PCB; PCB derivatives; Toxaphene; Total PAH; PAH derivatives. The data for these parameters shall be expressed in µg/kg dry weight. Additional testing shall be conducted such that a full priority pollutant scans is performed on the sediment samples.
- Chronic Sediment Toxicity Survey Sediment toxicity testing shall be conducted in August/September at the two receiving water sediment monitoring stations RWS-003 and RWS-007. Three replicate samples shall be collected for testing at each station. Sub-samples (upper two centimeters) shall be taken from each sediment sample and tested for chronic toxicty. Testing shall be conducted using one of the three amphipod species Eohaustorius estuarius, Leptocheirus plumulosus, and Rhepoxynius abronius in accordance with EPA 600/R-94/0925 (USEPA, 1994). Methods for Assessing the Toxicity of Sediment-associated Contaminants with Estuarine and Marine Amphipods, and the Southern California Bight Project sediment toxicity testing quidelines (Bight *18 Toxicology Committee, 2018). Test results shall be reported in percent survival, assessed for the presence of persistent toxicity, and included in the annual monitoring report. If persistent toxicity is observed at a sediment sampling location, a Phase I Toxicity Identification Evaluation (TIE) shall be conducted as defined in the Sediment Toxicity Identification (TIE) Phase I, II, and III Guidance Document (EPA/R-07/080). The Discharger shall submit a Sediment Toxicity TIE Work Plan within 90 days of the effective date of this Order. The work plan shall define persistent toxicity and outline the procedures that will take place if persistent toxicity is observed.
- 2. Regional Benthic Monitoring This regional survey is designed to determine the extent, distribution, magnitude and trend of ecological change in soft-bottom benthic habitats within the Southern California Bight and the relationship between biological response and contaminant exposure. The data collected will be used to assess the condition of the sea-floor environment and the health of the biological resources within the Bight.
 - A regional survey of sediment chemistry and benthic infauna within the Southern California Bight took place in 2013 (Bight'13). The final survey design was determined cooperatively by the participants represented on the Regional Steering Committee. The Discharger provided support to the Bight'13 benthic surveys in the following ways:
 - a. Participation on the Steering Committee

- b. Participation on the relevant Technical Committees (e.g., Information Management, Field Methods and Logistics, Benthos and Chemistry)
- c. Field sampling at sea
- d. Infaunal sample analysis
- e. Sediment chemistry analysis
- f. Data management

The Discharger's level of participation in previous Bight surveys has been consistent. The same level of participation is expected in Bight'18 and Bight'23 surveys.

C. Fish and Invertebrate Monitoring

- 1. Local Fish and Macroinvertebrate Survey This survey is designed to determine how the health of demersal fish and epibenthic invertebrate communities near the discharge point is changing over time. The data collected will be used for regular assessment of temporal trends in community structure and bioaccumulation along an array of sites within the influence of the discharge. Trash and debris data will also be collected to contribute to the Santa Monica Bay Restoration Project's (SMBRP's) Sources and Loadings Program. The Discharger shall monitor fish and macroinvertebrate at three receiving water trawling stations RWT-001, RWT-002 and RWT-003 in year two (See Table E-3). Mussels will be deployed for three months (September to December) at three fixed locations for bioaccumulation (see Attachment B-7) in year four. The monitoring requirements are as follows:
 - a. Local Fish and Macroinvertebrate Population Survey
 - i. Offshore trawling will occur in year two during August/September for demersal fish and epibenthic macroinvertebrates with trawl locations along sample lines A001 to A005 through E001 to E005 (see Table E-2 and Attachment B-7).
 - ii. Trawling methods shall follow the protocols described in the most current edition of the *Field Operations Manual for Marine Water-Column, Benthic, and Trawl Monitoring in Southern California, SCCWRP.*
 - iii. Fish and macroinvertebrates collected by trawls shall be identified to the lowest taxon possible. At all stations and for each replicate, community structure analysis for fish and macroinvertebrates²³ shall be conducted for each station.
 - iv. Mean, range, standard deviation, and 95% confidence limits, if appropriate, shall be reported for the values determined in the community structure analysis. The Discharger may use other statistical tools to determine temporal and spatial trends in the fish and macroinvertebrate population in the marine environment.
 - v. Abnormalities and disease symptoms shall be described and recorded (e.g., fin erosion, external lesions, tumors, ectoparasites, and color anomalies). The

Community structure analysis of fish and macroinvertebrates shall include wet weight of fish and macroinvertebrate species (when combined weight of individuals of one species exceeds 0.2 kg), standard length of each individual, number of species, number of individuals per species, total numerical abundance per station, number of individuals in each 1-cm size class for each species of fish, species abundance per trawl and per station, species richness, species diversity (e.g., Shannon-Wiener), species evenness, similarity analyses (e.g., Bray-Curtis, Jaccard or Sorensen), cluster analyses (using unweighted pair-group method) or other appropriate multivariate statistical techniques approved by the Executive Officer of the Regional Water Board.

frequency of abnormalities and incidence of disease shall be compared between the Zone of Initial Dilution (ZID) boundary and the reference station, and trends in these values shall be measured over time. The results of this comparison shall be included in the monitoring report.

b. Bagged Mussel Tissue

- i. Bags of mussels will be deployed on anchored arrays, in replicate, at three locations (SS1, SS2 and SS3) in the vicinity of the outfall for a period of three months, from July to December in year four of the permit. A set of control mussels will be frozen at the beginning of the three month deployment, held for three months and then analyzed along with the field deployed mussels. The field deployed mussels will be retrieved after three months, dissected and analyzed for contaminants.
- ii. All mussle tissue samples shall be analyzed for wet weight and percent lipid.
- iii. Testing shall include analysis for: Arsenic; Cadmium; Chromium (total); Copper; Lead; Mercury; Nickel; Silver; Zinc; Cyanide; Phenolic compounds (non-chlorinated); Phenolic compounds (chlorinated); Total halogenated organic compounds; Aldrin and Dieldrin; Endrin; HCH; Chlordane and related compounds; Total DDT; DDT derivatives; Total PCB; PCB derivatives; Toxaphene; Total PAH; and PAH derivatives and all priority pollutants.
- iv. The data for these parameters shall be expressed in µg/kg dry weight.

2. Regional Fish and Macroinvertebrate Survey

This regional survey is designed to determine the extent, distribution, magnitude and trend of ecological change in demersal fish and epibenthic invertebrate communities within the Southern California Bight and the relationship between biological response and contaminant exposure. The data collected will be used to assess the condition of the seafloor environment and health of biological resources within the Bight.

A regional survey of trawl-caught demersal fish and megabenthic invertebrates within the Southern California Bight took place in 2013 (Bight'13). The final survey design was determined cooperatively by the participants as represented on the Regional Steering Committee. The Discharger provided support to the Bight'13 surveys in the following ways:

- a. Participation on the Steering Committee;
- b. Participation on the relevant Technical Committees (e.g., Information Management, Field Methods and Logistics, Fish and Invertebrates);
- c. Field sampling at sea;
- d. Trawl sample analysis; and,
- e. Data management

The Discharger's level of participation in previous Bight surveys has been consistent. The same level of participation is expected in the Bight '18 and Bight '23 surveys.

D. Seafood Safety Monitoring

Local Seafood Safety Survey

This survey is designed to determine if tissue concentrations of contaminants exceed the Advisory Tissue Concentration (ATC) where seafood consumption advisories exist locally, and tissue contaminant trends relative to the ATC in other species and for other contaminants not currently subject to local consumption advisories. The data collected will be used to provide information necessary for the management of local seafood consumption advisories.

- a. One species from each of five groups of fish (rockfish, kelpbass, sandbass, surfperches and croakers) shall be sampled from each of the three zones, no later than year four of the permit. For rockfishes, scorpionfish (Scorpaena guttata) is the preferred species, followed by bocaccio (Sebastes paucispinis) and then by any other abundant and preferably benthic rockfish species. For surfperches, black surfperch (Embiotoca jacksoni) is the preferred species, followed by white surfperch (Phanerodon furcatus) and then by walleye surfperch (Hyperprosopon argenteum). For croakers, white croaker is the preferred species, followed by black croaker, and then by white seabass. If an insufficient number of croakers are collected and a significant effort has been made to collect the appropriate number of croakers, one of the following alternative species may be substituted: ocean whitefish (Caulolatilus princeps), opaleye (Girella nigricans), blacksmith (Chromis punctipinnis), or pacific mackerel (Scomber japonicus).
- b. For fish tissue analysis, one composite sample of ten individuals of each target shall be collected within each of the three zones. Sampling should take place in late summer/early fall and should focus upon a consistent size class of fish. All tissue samples shall be analyzed for:

Parameter	Units	Sample Type	Minimum Sampling Frequency
% moisture	%	composite of muscle tissue from 10 individuals of each of 5 species	No later than year 4
1 % IIDIO 1 % 1		composite of muscle tissue from 10 individuals of each of 5 species	No later than year 4
Arsenic μg/kg		composite of muscle tissue from 10 individuals of each of 5 species	No later than year 4
Mercury	μg/kg	composite of muscle tissue from 10 individuals of each of 5 species	No later than year 4
Selenium	μg/kg	composite of muscle tissue from 10 individuals of each of 5 species	No later than year 4
		composite of muscle tissue from 10 individuals of each of 5 species	No later than year 4
PCB as aroclors	μ g /kg	composite of muscle tissue from 10 individuals of each of 5 species	No later than year 4
PCB as congeners	μg/kg	composite of muscle tissue from 10 individuals of each of 5 species	No later than year 4

Table E-10. Seafood Safety Monitoring Requirements

Regional Seafood Safety Survey

This regional survey is designed to determine if seafood tissue levels within the Southern California Bight are below levels that ensure public safety. The data collected will be

used to assess levels of contaminants in the edible tissue of commercial or recreationally important fish within the Bight relative to Advisory Tissue Concentrations.

Sampling Design - A regional survey of edible tissue contaminant levels in fish within the Southern California Bight shall be conducted at least once every ten years, encompassing a broader set of sampling sites and target species than those addressed in the local seafood survey. The objective is to determine whether any unexpected increases or decreases in contaminant levels have occurred in non-target species and/or at unsampled sites. The final survey design may be determined cooperatively by participants represented on a Regional Steering Committee or by the State of California's Office of Environmental Health and Hazard Assessment. The Discharger shall provide support to a Regional Seafood Safety Survey by participating in or performing the following activities:

- a. Participation on the Steering Committee;
- b. Participation on the relevant Technical Committees (e.g., Information Management, Field Methods and Logistics, Fish and Invertebrates, Chemistry);
- c. Tissue chemical analysis; and,
- d. Data management

This level of participation in the Bight'08 survey was consistent with that provided by the Discharger to the previous surveys. The next regional survey is expected to occur in 2018 and 2023, and the Discharger's level of participation shall be consistent with that provided in previous surveys.

E. Kelp Bed Monitoring

This regional survey is designed to determine if the extent of kelp beds in the Southern California Bight is changing over time and if some beds are changing at rates different than others. The data collected in this regional survey will be used to assess the status and trends in kelp bed health and spatial extent.

The Discharger shall participate in the Central Region Kelp Survey Consortium (CRKSC) to conduct regional kelp bed monitoring in Southern California coastal waters. The CRKSC design is based upon quarterly measures of kelp canopy extent using aerial imaging. The Discharger participate in the management and technical committee's responsibility for the final survey design and shall provide appropriate financial support to help fund the survey. However, the support may be less than that required by R4-2013-0094, in recognition of the City's physical location, and the absence of hard substrate to support kelp growth at the outfall. The information gained by the City through participation may be used to evaluate whether the discharge impacts kelp beds near the outfall.

IX. OTHER MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

A. Outfall and Diffuser Inspection

This survey is designed to ensure that the outfall structures are in serviceable condition and that they can continue to be operated safely. The data collected will be used for a periodic assessment of the integrity of the outfall pipe and ballasting system.

The ocean outfall (001) shall be inspected externally a minimum of once per year. Inspections shall include general observations and photographic/videographic records of the outfall pipe and adjacent ballast ocean bottom. The pipe shall be visually inspected by a diver, manned submarine, or remotely operated vehicle. A summary report shall be submitted by August 1 of each year for the previous year. This written report, augmented with videographic and/or photographic images, will provide a description of the observed condition of the outfall structure from shallow water to the termini.

B. Biosolids and Sludge Management

The Discharger must comply with all Clean Water Act and regulatory requirements of 40 CFR § 257, 258, 501, and 503, including all applicable monitoring, record keeping, and reporting requirements.

X. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

A. General Monitoring and Reporting Requirements

- 1. The Discharger shall comply with all Standard Provisions (Attachment D) related to monitoring, reporting, and recordkeeping.
- 2. If there is no discharge during any reporting period, the report shall so state.
- 3. Each monitoring report shall contain a separate section titled "Summary of Non-compliance" which discusses the compliance record and the corrective actions taken or planned that may be needed to bring the discharge into full compliance with waste discharge requirements. This section shall clearly list all non-compliance with discharge requirements as well as all excursions of effluent limitations.
- 4. The Discharger shall inform the Regional Water Board well in advance of any proposed construction or maintenance activity, or modification to the POTW that could potentially affect compliance with applicable requirements.
- 5. The date and time of sampling (as appropriate) shall be reported with the analytical values determined.
- 6. The laboratory conducting analyses shall be certified by the State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Drinking Water, Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELAP), in accordance with CWC section 13176, or approved by the Regional Water Board Executive Officer, in consultation with the State Water Board's Quality Assurance Program, and USEPA for that particular parameter and must include quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) data in their reports. A copy of the laboratory certification shall be provided each time a new/renewal certification is obtained from ELAP and must be submitted with the annual summary report. Each monitoring report must affirm in writing that: "All analyses were conducted at a laboratory certified for such analyses by the the State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Drinking Water, Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELAP), , or approved by the Regional Water Board Executive Officer (in consultation with the State Water Board's Quality Assurance Program), and in accordance with current USEPA guideline procedures or as specified in this MRP."
- 7. Non-detect levels reported for the Oxnard Wastewater Treatment Plant effluent are generally higher than effluent limitations or water quality objectives for DDT, chlordane, PCBs and PAHs. Therefore, the Discharger shall strive for lower analytical detection levels than those specified in Appendix II of the 2015 Ocean Plan.
- 8. Upon request by the Discharger, the Regional Water Board, in consultation with the State Water Board's Quality Assurance Program and/or USEPA, may establish an ML that is not contained in Appendix II of the 2015 Ocean Plan, to be included in the Discharger's NPDES permit, in any of the following situations:
 - a. When the pollutant under consideration is not included in Appendix II;
 - b. When the Discharger agrees to use a test method that is more sensitive than those specified in 40 CFR § 136 (most recent revision);
 - c. When the Discharger agrees to use an ML lower than those listed in Appendix II;

- d. When the Discharger demonstrates that the calibration standard matrix is sufficiently different from that used to establish the ML in Appendix II and proposes an appropriate ML for their matrix; or
- e. When the Discharger uses a method whose quantification practices are not consistent with the definition of an ML. Examples of such methods are the USEPA-approved method 1613 for dioxins and furans, method 1624 for volatile organic substances, and method 1625 for semi-volatile organic substances. In such cases, the Discharger, Regional Water Board, State Water Board and USEPA shall agree on a lowest quantifiable limit, and that limit will substitute for the ML for reporting and compliance determination purposes.
- Records and reports of marine monitoring surveys conducted to meet receiving water monitoring requirements shall include, at a minimum, the following information:
 - a. A description of climatic and receiving water characteristics at the time of sampling (weather observations, unusual or abnormal amounts of floating debris, discoloration, wind speed and direction, swell or wave action, time of sampling or measurements, tidal stage and height, etc.).
 - b. The date, exact place and description of sampling stations, including differences unique to each station (e.g., date, time, station location, depth, and sample type).
 - A list of the individuals participating in field collection of samples or data and description of the sample collection and preservation procedures used in the various surveys.
 - d. A description of the specific method used for laboratory analysis, the date(s) the analyses were performed and the individuals participating in these analyses.
 - e. An in-depth discussion of the results of the survey. All tabulations and computations shall be explained.
- 10. The Discharger shall arrange all reported data in a tabular format. The data shall be summarized to clearly illustrate whether the facility is operating in compliance with this Order.
- 11. The Discharger shall attach a cover letter to the monitoring reports. The information contained in the cover letter shall clearly identify violations of the Order; discuss corrective actions taken or planned; and the proposed time schedule for corrective actions. Identified violations must include a description of the requirement that was violated and a description of the violation.

B. Self-Monitoring Reports (SMRs)

- 1. The Discharger shall electronically submit SMRs using the State Water Board's California Integrated Water Quality System (CIWQS) Program website (http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/ciwqs/). The CIWQS website will provide additional information for SMR submittal in the event there will be a planned service interruption for electronic submittal.
- 2. The Discharger shall report in the SMR the results for all monitoring specified in this Order. The Discharger shall submit monthly, quarterly, semiannual, and annual SMRs including the results of all required monitoring using USEPA-approved test methods or other test methods specified in this Order. SMRs are to include all new monitoring results obtained since the last SMR was submitted. If the Discharger monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this Order, the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculations and reporting of the data submitted in the SMR.

 Monitoring periods and reporting for all required monitoring shall be completed according to the following schedule, except where specific monitoring periods and reporting dates are required elsewhere in the Order.

Table E-11. Monitoring Periods and Reporting Schedule

Sampling Frequency	Monitoring Period Begins	Monitoring Period	SMR Due Date
Continuous	Permit effective date	All	Submit with monthly SMR
Daily	Permit effective date	(Midnight through 11:59 PM) or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents a calendar day for purposes of sampling.	Submit with monthly SMR
Weekly	Sunday following Permit effective date or on Permit effective date if on a Sunday	Sunday through Saturday	Submit with monthly SMR
Monthly	First day of calendar month following Permit effective date or on Permit effective date if that date is first day of the month	1 st day of calendar month through last day of calendar month	Submit with monthly SMR
Quarterly	Closest of January 1, April 1, July 1, or October 1 following (or on) Permit effective date	January 1 to March 31 April 1 to June 30 July 1 to September 30 October 1 to December 31	By the 15th day of the second month after the month of sampling
Semiannually	Closest of January 1 or July 1 following (or on) Permit effective date	January 1 to June 30 July 1 to December 31	May 15 November 15
Annually	January 1 following (or on) Permit effective date	January 1 through December 31	August 15

4. Reporting Protocols. The Discharger shall report with each sample result the applicable reported Minimum Level (reported ML, also known as the Reporting Level, or RL) and the current Method Detection Limit (MDL), as determined by the procedure in 40 CFR §136.

The Discharger shall report the results of analytical determinations for the presence of chemical constituents in a sample using the following reporting protocols:

- a. Sample results greater than or equal to the reported ML shall be reported as measured by the laboratory (i.e., the measured chemical concentration in the sample).
- b. Sample results less than the reported ML, but greater than or equal to the laboratory's MDL, shall be reported as "Detected, but Not Quantified," or DNQ. The estimated chemical concentration of the sample shall also be reported.

For the purposes of data collection, the laboratory shall write the estimated chemical concentration next to DNQ. The laboratory may, if such information is available, include numerical estimates of the data quality for the reported result. Numerical estimates of data quality may be percent accuracy (± a percentage of the reported value), numerical ranges (low to high), or any other means considered appropriate by the laboratory.

 Sample results less than the laboratory's MDL shall be reported as "Not Detected," or ND.

- d. Dischargers are to instruct laboratories to establish calibration standards so that the ML value (or its equivalent if there is differential treatment of samples relative to calibration standards) is the lowest calibration standard. At no time is the Discharger to use analytical data derived from extrapolation beyond the lowest point of the calibration curve.
- 5. Compliance Determination. Compliance with effluent limitations for reportable pollutants shall be determined using sample reporting protocols defined above and Attachment A of this Order. For purposes of reporting and administrative enforcement by the Regional Water Board and State Water Board, the Discharger shall be deemed out of compliance with effluent limitations if the concentration of the reportable pollutant in the monitoring sample is greater than the effluent limitation and greater than or equal to the reported Minimum Level (ML).
- 6. Multiple Sample Data. When determining compliance with a measure of central tendency (arithmetic mean, geometric mean, median, etc.) of multiple sample analyses and the data set contains one or more reported determinations of "Detected, but Not Quantified" (DNQ) or "Not Detected" (ND), the Discharger shall compute the median in place of the arithmetic mean in accordance with the following procedure:
 - a. The data set shall be ranked from low to high, ranking the reported ND determinations lowest, DNQ determinations next, followed by quantified values (if any). The order of the individual ND or DNQ determinations is unimportant.
 - b. The median value of the data set shall be determined. If the data set has an odd number of data points, then the median is the middle value. If the data set has an even number of data points, then the median is the average of the two values around the middle unless one or both of the points are ND or DNQ, in which case the median value shall be the lower of the two data points where DNQ is lower than a value and ND is lower than DNQ.
- 7. The Discharger shall submit SMRs in accordance with the following requirements:
 - The Discharger shall arrange all reported data in a tabular format. The data shall be summarized to clearly illustrate whether the facility is operating in compliance with interim and/or final effluent limitations. The Discharger is not required to duplicate the submittal of data that is entered in a tabular format within CIWQS. When electronic submittal of data is required and CIWQS does not provide for entry into a tabular format within the system, the Discharger shall electronically submit the data in a tabular format as an attachment.
- 8. The Discharger shall attach a cover letter to the SMR. The information contained in the cover letter shall clearly identify violations of the waste discharge requirements; discuss corrective actions taken or planned; and the proposed time schedule for corrective actions. Identified violations must include a description of the requirement that was violated and a description of the violation.

C. Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs)

DMRs are USEPA reporting requirements. The Discharger shall electronically certify and submit DMRs together with SMRs using Electronic Self-Monitoring Reports module eSMR 2.5 or any upgraded version. Electronic DMR submittal shall be in addition to electronic SMR submittal. Information about electronic DMR submittal is available at the DMR website at: http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/discharge_monitoring.

D. Other Reports

1. Pretreatment Report

The Discharger shall submit annual pretreatment reports to the Regional Water Board, with copies to the State Water Board, and USEPA Region 9, describing the Discharger's pretreatment activities over the period. The annual reports shall contain, but not be limited to, the information required in the attached Pretreatment Reporting Requirements (Attachment I), or an approved revised version thereof. If the Discharger is not in compliance with any conditions or requirements of this Order, the Discharger shall include the reasons for noncompliance and shall state how and when the Discharger will comply with such conditions and requirements.

An Enhanced Source Control study was completed in 2017 and the results will be used to improve the pretreatment program when modifications to the recycled water program include Indirect Potable Reuse (IPR). Resulting modifications to the pretreatment program shall be reported in the annual report.

2. The Discharger shall report the results of any special studies, chronic toxicity testing, TRE/TIE, Pollutant Minimization Program (PMP), and Pollution Prevention Plan required by Special Provisions – section VIII.B. The Discharger shall submit reports in compliance with SMR reporting requirements described in subsection X.B. above.

3. Annual Summary Report

By August 15 of each year, the Discharger shall submit an annual report containing a discussion of the previous year's influent/effluent results (including the average and peak flow for the year), the date of the outfall inspection, and upgrades to the treatment plant's collection system, the treatment processes, or the outfall system. The Discharger shall submit annual reports to the Regional Water Board in accordance with the requirements described in subsection X.B.7. above.

Each annual monitoring report shall contain a separate section titled "Reasonable Potential Analysis" which discusses whether or not reasonable potential was triggered for pollutants which do not have a final effluent limitation in the NPDES permit. This section shall contain the following statement: "The analytical results for this sampling period did/did not trigger reasonable potential." If reasonable potential was triggered, then the following information should also be provided:

- a. A list of the pollutant(s) that triggered reasonable potential;
- b. The Ocean Plan criteria that was exceeded for each given pollutant;
- c. The concentration of the pollutant(s);
- d. The test method used to analyze the sample; and,
- e. The date and time of sample collection.

The Discharger shall submit to the Regional Water Board, together with the first monitoring report required by this permit, a list of all chemicals and proprietary additives which could affect this waste discharge, including quantities of each. Any subsequent changes in types and/or quantities shall be reported promptly.

4. Receiving Water Monitoring Report

An annual summary of the receiving water monitoring data collected during each sampling year (January-December) shall be prepared and submitted so that it is received by the Regional Water Board by August 15 of the following year. The annual summary shall include data tables and a description of receiving water data.

A detailed Receiving Water Monitoring Biennial Assessment Report of the data collected during the two previous calendar sampling years (January-December) shall be prepared

and submitted so that it is received by the Regional Water Board by September of every other year. Any effluent compliance issues during that period shall also be discussed. This report shall include a description of the nearfield zone and an in-depth analysis of the biological and chemical data following the Model Monitoring Program Guidance Document (Schiff, K.C., J.S. Brown and S.B. Weisberg, 2001. Model Monitoring Program for Large Ocean Dischargers in Southern California. SCCWRP Tech. Rep #357. Southern California Coastal Water Research Project, Westminster, CA. 101 pp.). Data shall be tabulated, summarized, graphed where appropriate, analyzed, interpreted, and generally presented in such a way as to facilitate ready understanding of its significance. Spatial and temporal trends shall be examined and compared. The relationship of physical and chemical parameters shall be evaluated. See also Section VIII of this MRP. All receiving water monitoring data shall be submitted in accordance with the California Environmental Data Exchange Network (CEDEN), when the system accepts data such as bioassessment /taxonomic data and continuous data. The Discharger shall submit all receiving water monitoring data in accordance with CEDEN, when feasible. An electronic copy of the receiving water document shall also be submitted to the CIWQS, the state electronic data repository.

The first assessment report shall be due August 15, 2020, and cover the sampling periods of January-December 2018 and January-December 2019. Subsequent reports shall be due August 15, 2022, and August 15, 2024, to cover sampling periods of January 2020-December 2021 and January 2022-December 2023, respectively.

5. Outfall Inspection Report

By August 1 of each year, a summary report of the outfall Inspection findings for the previous calendar year shall be prepared and submitted to the Regional Water Board. This written report, augmented with videographic and/or photographic images, shall provide a description of the observed external condition of the discharge pipes from shallow water to their respective termini.

The first summary report shall be due August 1, 2020, covering the monitoring period from January 2019 – December 2019.

6. Technical Report on Preventive and Contingency Plans

The Regional Water Board requires the Discharger to file with the Regional Water Board, within 90 days after the effective date of this Order, a technical report on its preventive (failsafe) and contingency (cleanup) plans for controlling accidental discharges, and for minimizing the effect of such events. The technical report should:

- a. Identify the possible sources of accidental loss, untreated waste bypass, and contaminated drainage. Loading and storage areas, power outage, waste treatment unit outage, and failure of process equipment, tanks, and pipes should be considered.
- b. Evaluate the effectiveness of present facilities and procedures and state when they become operational.
- Describe facilities and procedures needed for effective preventive and contingency plans.
- d. Predict the effectiveness of the proposed facilities and procedures and provide an implementation schedule contingent interim and final dates when they will be constructed, implemented, or operational

ATTACHMENT F - FACT SHEET

CONTENTS

1.	Perr	nit Information	F-3
11.		lity Description	
	A.	Description of Wastewater and Biosolids Treatment and Controls	
	B.	Discharge Points and Receiving Waters	F-8
	C.	Summary of Existing Requirements and Self-Monitoring Report (SMR) Data	F-9
	D.	Compliance Summary	F-13
	E.	Receiving Water Description	
	F.	Planned Changes	
III.	App	licable Plans, Policies, and Regulations	
	Α.	Legal Authorities	F-17
	B.	California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)	F-17
	C.	State and Federal Laws, Regulations, Policies, and Plans	
	D.	Impaired Water Bodies on the CWA section 303(d) List	
	E.	Other Plans, Polices and Regulations	
IV.	Rati	onale for Effluent Limitations and Discharge Specification.	
	Α.	Discharge Prohibitions.	
	B.	Technology-Based Effluent Limitations.	
	C.	Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations (WQBELs)	
		1. Scope and Authority.	F-25
		2. Applicable Beneficial Uses and Water Quality Criteria and Objectives	F-25
		3. Expression of WQBELs	F-25
		4. Determining the Need for WQBELs	F-26
		5. WQBEL Calculations	F-27
		6. Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET)	F-30
	D.	Final Effluent Limitation Considerations	F-32
		1. Anti-Backsliding Requirements	
		2. Antidegradation Policies	
		3. Stringency of Requirements for Individual Pollutants	
	E.	Interim Effluent Limitations – Not Applicable	
	F.	Land Discharge Specifications - Not Applicable	
	G.	Recycling Specifications – Not Applicable	
V.		ormance Goals	
VI.		onale for Receiving Water Limitations	
	Α.	Surface Water	
	B.		
VII.	Rati	onale for Provisions	
	Α.	Standard Provisions	
	B.	Special Provisions	F-41
VIII.	Rati	onale for Monitoring and Reporting Requirements.	
	Α.	Influent Monitoring	
	B.	Effluent Monitoring	
	C.	Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Requirements	
	D.	Receiving Water Monitoring.	
		Surface Water and Benthic Monitoring	
		2. Groundwater – Not Applicable	
	E.	Other Monitoring Requirements	
		1. Outfall Inspection	F-47

		2.	Biosolids/Sludge Monitoring	F-47
		3.	Discharge Monitoring Report-Quality Assurance (DMR-QA) Study Program	
IX.	Pub	lic P	Participation	
	Α.		tification of Interested Parties	
	В.		itten Comments	
	C.		olic Hearing	
	D.		consideration of Waste Discharge Requirements	
	E.		ormation and Copying	
	F.		gister of Interested Persons	
	G.		ditional Information	
	•	,		
			TABLES	
			acility Information	
			utfall Description	F-8
			istoric Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Data (Conventional/Non-Conventional	
Tabl	e F-	4. Hi	istoric Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Data for Toxic Constituents	F-10
Tabl	e F-	5. Vi	olations	F-14
Tabl	e F-	6. PI	anned Changes	F-16
Tabl	e F-	7. Ba	asin Plan Beneficial Uses	F-17
Tabl	e F-	8. O	cean Plan Beneficial Uses	F-18
Tabl	e F-	9. Si	ummary of TBELs in 40 CFR part 133.102	F-23
Tabl	e F-	10. 8	Summary of TBELs for POTWs established by the 2015 Ocean Plan	F-23
			Summary of TBELs for Discharge Point 001	
Tabl	e F-	12. F	Pollutants with Background Seawater Concentration	F-28
Tabl	e F-	13. C	Ocean Plan Water Quality Objectives (Co)	F-28
Tabl	e F-	14. F	Proposed Water Quality Objectives (Ce)	F-29
			Summary of Final Effluent Limitations for Discharge Point 001	
			Effluent Monitoring Frequency Comparison	

ATTACHMENT F - FACT SHEET

As described in section I of this Order, the Regional Water Board incorporates this Fact Sheet as findings of the Regional Water Board supporting the issuance of this Order. This Fact Sheet includes the legal requirements and technical rationale that serve as the basis for the requirements of this Order.

This Order has been prepared under a standardized format to accommodate a broad range of discharge requirements for dischargers in California. Only those sections or subsections of this Order that are specifically identified as "not applicable" have been determined not to apply to this Discharger. Sections or subsections of this Order not specifically identified as "not applicable" are fully applicable to this Discharger.

I. PERMIT INFORMATION

The following table summarizes administrative information related to the facility.

Table F-1. Facility Information

× ***				
Thien Ng, Assistant Public Works Director, (805) 432-3575				
SAME				
SAME				
Publicly Owned Treatment Works				
Major				
31.7 (million gallons per day)				
31.7 (million gallons per day)				
Pacific Ocean				

A. The City of Oxnard (hereinafter City, Permittee or Discharger) is the owner and operator of the Oxnard Wastewater Treatment Plant (hereinafter OWTS or Facility or Plant), a Publicly-Owned Treatment Works (POTW). The Regional Water Board has classified the OWTS as a major discharger. It has a Threat to Water Quality and Complexity rating of 1-A pursuant to California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 23, section 2200.

For the purposes of this Order, references to the "Discharger" or "Permittee" in applicable federal and state laws, regulations, plans, or policy are held to be equivalent to references to the Discharger herein.

The Facility discharges wastewater to the Pacific Ocean, a water of the United States. The discharge was previously regulated by Order R4-2013-0094 and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) No. CA0054097, adopted on June 6, 2013, and expired on July 26, 2018 and which was administratively extended until the adoption of this Order. Attachment B provides a map of the area around the Facility. Attachment C provides a flow schematic of the Facility.

The Discharger filed a report of waste discharge (ROWD) and submitted an application for renewal of its WDRs and NPDES permit on January 25, 2018. Supplemental information was requested on January 30, 2018, and received on February 22, 2018. The application was deemed complete on March 16, 2018. A site visit was conducted on July 12, 2018 to observe operations and collect additional data to develop permit limitations and requirements for waste discharge.

The Discharger is authorized to discharge subject to waste discharge requirements in this Order at the discharge locations described in Table 2 of this Order. Treated effluent is also provided to the City of Oxnard's Advanced Water Purification Facility (AWPF) for additional treatment and distribution as recycled water, with discharge regulated under Orders R4-2011-0079-A02 and R4-2008-0083-A01.

Regulations at 40 CFR section 122.46 limit the duration of NPDES permits to a fixed term not to exceed five years. Accordingly, Table 3 of this Order limits the duration of the discharge authorization. However, pursuant to CCR, title 23, section 2235.4, the terms and conditions of an expired permit are automatically continued pending reissuance of the permit if the Discharger complies with all federal NPDES requirements for continuation of expired permits.

B. Dilution Credits. On August 1, 2016, Regional Water Board reviewed the "July 25, 2016 Workplan for the City of Oxnard Diffuser Dilution Study" which described a work plan for an initial dilution study of the Ocean discharge from the Oxnard Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) under Order R4-2013-0094. The final results were titled the "City of Oxnard Recycled Water Retrofit Program, Technical Memorandum Ocean Outfall Effluent Dilution Study Draft", submitted on September 9, 2016. The City consulted with Regional Water Board staff between August 2016 and February 2017 on Cormix and Visual Plume initial dilution model assumptions and recommended an initial dilution ratio between 1:143 and 1:108. The existing dilution factor is 1:98. The ratio of 1:108 was selected as discussed below.

The secondary treated wastewater and brine waste from the AWPF is discharged to the Pacific Ocean through a diffuser at Discharge Point 001. The diffuser pipe is 4 feet in diameter and 1,016 feet long, terminating 5,950 feet offshore. All 170 of the 2-inch diameter diffuser ports are located along the spring line on both sides (opposite-one-another) of the pipe at 12-foot intervals. In addition, there is a single 6-1/4-inch diameter port on the end of the terminal pipe section. The diffuser lies at a minimum of 46 feet below the surface and the ports are angled to discharge at 90 degrees from the center line of the outfall. While the 2016 annual visual observation of the outfall pipe and supporting rip-rap showed a rocky reef community with macroinvertebrates, fish and algae, all lateral ports were flowing freely, with no evidence of external damage holes, cracks or leaks.

On behalf of the City, the consulting firm Exponent applied the USEPA-approved Visual Plumes UM3 model to the flow with the assumption that the ocean mixing need only be modeled on one side of the outfall pipe, with identical and mirror-image hydrodynamics on the opposite side of the centerline. Discharge data from 2009 to 2013 was used to define the

existing discharge conditions of effluent temperature, salinity, and average and maximum flows. Receiving water properties were characterized by the measurements taken between August 2012 and May 2016 at the City of Oxnard Regional Cooperative Offshore Water Quality Station 4392, at the end of the outfall, for each meter between one and 15 meters. Although the zone of initial dilution was defined in the absence of currents, current speeds were used to ensure models were constructed for the period when the least amount of water was available for dilution. Four model scenarios were completed; one for existing conditions and three for AWPF conditions of increasing brine production and flow diversion, up to a maximum flow of 12.5 MGD of finished recycled water.

Both Exponent and Staff completed several model simulations using another USEPA-approved ocean mixing model, CORMIX, which simulates the mixing of the right and left-directed port jets over the centerline of the outfall, under varying initial conditions. USEPA Region 9 reviewed the work plan and discussed the modeling results prepared by Staff and the City using both Cormix and the Visual Plumes models.

Due to the merging of the discharge plumes above the axis of the diffuser, the Regional Water Board prefer the Cormix model for this outfall. Since the operation of the Advanced Water Purification Facility (AWPF) has still not achieved a steady output of 6.5 MGD and the future flows are still not set, the approved modeling case is the baseline of current OWTP conditions with an initial dilution ratio of 1:108. The evidence supporting the calculated initial dilution ratio of 1:108 using the CORMIX Model, based on the City's dilution modelling data input files was transmitted to the City via email on February 17, 2017 and approved by letter from the Regional Board on June 8, 2017.

Additional modeling runs included 6.25 MGD of brine (Phase I), 9.38 MGD of brine (Phase IA) and 12.5 MGD of brine (Phase II). Once the operation of the AWPF is consistently generating brine, the dilution ratio may be revised upon approval from the Regional Water Board. Any modifications to the IWC due to an adjustment of the dilution ratio would require reopening the permit.

II. FACILITY DESCRIPTION

The Oxnard Wastewater Treatment Plant serves a population of 249,050 in the city of Oxnard, the city of Port Hueneme, the United States Naval Bases in Ventura County, and some unincorporated areas of Ventura County. The City of Port of Hueneme and the United States Navy operate separate collection systems, but each discharge to the City of Oxnard's treatment plant. Flow to the plant consists of domestic, commercial and industrial wastewater. For fiscal year 2017, industrial wastewater represented about 11% (low peak) and 21% (high peak) of the total flow to the Facility.

A. Description of Wastewater and Biosolids Treatment and Controls

The Discharger owns and operates the Oxnard Wastewater Treatment Plant, located at 6001 South Perkins Road in Oxnard, California. The OWTP has a total design treatment flow capacity of 31.7 million gallons per day (MGD) of secondary treated effluent. For the period from August 2013 to December 2017, secondary effluent discharge flow from OWTP averaged 18.5 MGD with a maximum daily flow of 29.8 MGD, as reported to the Regional Water Board¹. Variations in flow associated with the production of recycled water resulted in

¹ Recycled water production variations, driven by demand and operational limitations, result in daily variations in OWTS discharge flow. As a result, flow measurements given in EPA NPDES application Form 3510-2A, averaged over a short period, vary from that reported to the Regional Water Board, through CIWQS, averaged over longer periods.

wider variation in flow after 2016, with a minimum flow of 9.3 MGD and an average in the last two years of 14.8 MGD.

- 1. Preliminary Treatment and Influent Pump Station: Preliminary treatment at the headworks consists of an inlet junction structure, bar screens, screenings conveyance, grit removal, and grit conveyance. The influent junction box collects flow from the Southeast Interceptor Sewer and the Northwest Interceptor Sewer as well as tank drainage and return flows from the OWTP. From there, flow is routed to a total of six influent screen channels. Four of the screen channels have mechanical bar screens while the remaining two are equipped with manual bar screens. From there, flow is routed to one of two grit chambers to remove grit and other heavy material that is hauled to an offsite landfill for disposal. Finally, flow is gravity fed to the influent pump station wet well. The influent pump station includes six dry pit submersible pumps. During normal operations three of the six pumps are on duty.
- 2. **Primary Treatment:** Raw wastewater from the headworks flows to three of four primary sedimentation basins for primary treatment. Each sedimentation basin is 105 feet (ft) in diameter and has a designated sludge collector, sludge pump, and surface scum removal mechanism. The primary treatment process includes facilities for adding ferric chloride and polymer to enhance sedimentation. Ferric chloride destabilizes the suspended particles in the primary influent wastewater to promote flocculation. The addition of polymer after floc formation produces a much larger floc, enhancing the settling of suspended solids in the primary clarifiers.
- 3. Secondary Treatment: The secondary treatment system uses a fixed-film secondary treatment process followed by an air-activated sludge process that removes organic material (biochemical oxygen demand or BOD or BOD₅20°C) from primary effluent. The secondary treatment system is comprised of biotowers, activated sludge tanks (ASTs), and secondary sedimentation basins (SSTs). The primary effluent flows to an interstage pump station where it is pumped by four circulation pumps over the two existing biotowers. Flow is then pumped by three interstage feed pumps to the ASTs. The OWTP has two ASTs that can be operated in a step-feed configuration. Additionally, each AST has three channels that can be run in series or in parallel. Each pass has fixed fine bubble diffusers fed by five single-stage centrifugal blowers. Five centrifugal blowers supply air to the aeration basins to provide oxygen for the activated sludge microorganisms and mixing of the mixed liquor. Air drawn into the blowers is compressed, and then discharged through dedicated headers to the fine bubble diffusers. Each of the three channels in the ASTs is 450 ft long with a surface water depth of 17 feet.

Flow exiting the ASTs is collected in an effluent channel that flows to the SST inlet channel. This SST inlet channel runs along all eighteen rectangular SSTs to distribute flow. Each SST has plastic flight and chain sludge collectors that send sludge to a centralized return activated sludge (RAS) pump station consisting of a wet well and four mixed flow pumps. Secondary effluent leaving the SSTs flows in the secondary effluent channel that runs along all eighteen SSTs. This secondary effluent then flows by gravity to the Chlorine Contact Tank (CCT) and/or to the Advanced Water Purification Facility (AWPF) lift pump station wet well.

When flow exiting the SSTs is greater than 50 mgd, a portion of the flow is diverted and flows by gravity to two equalization basins (EQ Basin). Each EQ Basin is 2.5 million gallons. When peak flows subside, secondary effluent stored in the EQ basins is

pumped by three vertical mixed flow pumps out of the basins to the CCTs. The EQ basins are also routinely used to balance daily flow and stabilize effluent pump operation

- 4. Effluent Disinfection: Secondary effluent leaving the SSTs and/or EQ Basin flows by gravity or is pumped through a 48-inch secondary effluent line that discharges to the inlet of the CCT adjacent to the Administration Building. The OWTP has two three-pass CCTs. Each pass is 145 feet long. Chlorination using sodium hypochlorite and dechlorination using sodium bisulfite are the final liquid treatment processes at the OWTP. Chlorine contact tanks slow the flow and allow time for disinfection to occur before the chlorine residual is removed by adding sodium bisulfite solution. The reaction between the chlorine residual and sodium bisulfite is essentially immediate. Sodium hypochlorite is added at the secondary clarifier effluent channel located in the north area process tankage, upstream of the EQ basins. Sodium bisulfite is added to the chlorinated effluent at the CCT discharge end prior to final ocean disposal. Secondary uses for sodium hypochlorite in the plant include odor control at the influent manholes and at the secondary effluent feed tie-in to the AWPF.
- 5. **Effluent Pump Station and Outfall:** The effluent pump station and outfall dispose treated wastewater to the ocean. The system includes in-plant conveyance piping, a pump station with two engine driven pumps, two electric motor variable frequency drive (VFD) pumps, one additional motor driven pump and an outfall. The two engine driven pumps and two VFD pumps are located at the effluent pump station, while the one motor driven pump is located at the effluent end of the CCT. Typically, the motor driven pump is used during low flow conditions while the engine driven pumps are only used for peak flows.
 - The OWTP has a 6,800-foot outfall that was constructed around 1963 and modified in 1978. It discharges OWTP effluent into the Pacific Ocean through multi-port diffusers offshore of Ormond Beach. It has a capacity of 50 mgd.
- 6. **Oil and Grease Program:** Although the City is no longer providing oil & grease collection services, the City (Source Control) staff still conduct oil & grease inspection for all grease interceptors within the City collection area. Businesses are contracting with private haulers for oil & grease removal.
- Solids Handling: The solids handling facilities at the OWTP consist of two gravity thickeners for primary sludge thickening, two dissolved air flotation thickeners (DAFTs) for waste activated sludge (WAS) thickening, three anaerobic digesters, and four belt filter presses (BFPs) for dewatering. Primary sludge and scum is pumped from the primary clarifiers to the gravity thickeners. The sludge feed is combined at the thickener feed junction box and discharged to the thickener influent well where it is evenly distributed to prevent short circuiting. Polymer is added to this sludge stream. The purpose of the gravity thickeners is to reduce the liquid content in the primary sludge sent to the digesters. WAS from the secondary clarifiers is pumped from the RAS/WAS pump stations to the DAFTs where polymer is used to improve the separation of the solids from the liquid in the WAS flow. The DAFTs utilize fine air bubbles to float the sludge particles to the surface, where it is then scraped off. Volume reduction from WAS thickening benefits the sludge digestion and dewatering processes by reducing the volume of sludge to be processed, quantity of chemicals required for sludge conditioning, and amount of heat required for digestion. The thickened solids are pumped to the digesters. The main purpose of anaerobic digestion is to biologically decompose organic material in primary and secondary scum and sludge to a stable form in compliance with regulatory requirements for final disposal. Anaerobic digestion also reduces the amount of solids to dewater, reduces the volume of sludge cake that is hauled to the landfill.

reduces pathogens in the sludge and produces digester gas that is high in methane and useful for fueling other equipment. The solids dewatering facility consists of the belt filter press (BFP) process in the Solids Processing Building east of the digesters. The BFP system is designed to concentrate the anaerobically digested sludge from a solids content of less than 3 percent to a range of 18 to 20 percent. Polymer is mixed with digested sludge upstream of the BFPs to promote flocculation and solids capture so that the solids will concentrate into cake form. BFP sludge cake is conveyed to hauling trucks for transport to an offsite landfill.

Water Reclamation: A portion of secondary effluent flows to the AWPF for advanced treatment that includes microfiltration (MF), reverse osmosis (RO), and ultraviolet/advanced oxidation process (UV/AOP). As previously mentioned, the AWPF finished water is produced for reuse and future recharge. Presently, the AWPF has equipment to produce 6.25 mgd of finished water. The MF backwash wastewater is returned to the OWTP's headworks, and the design flow of 1.55 MGD RO brine is commingled with the OTWP's secondary-treated effluent and discharged to the Pacific Ocean.

Recycled water is currently being distributed for non-potable Title 22 uses, primarily irrigation. The Discharger is seeking approval for a recycled water program that will inject advanced tertiary treated recycled water for later withdrawal and distribution for agricultural, industrial, commercial and domestic uses.

9. Pretreatment: The OWTP has an industrial wastewater Pretreatment Program which is approved by USEPA and the Regional Water Board. The City's staff manages a pretreatment program that consists of 654 nondomestic dischargers. Thirty-seven of those dischargers are classified and permitted as Significant Industrial Users (SIU), and 12 of the SIUs are Categorical Industrial Users (CIU). The City also regulates and regularly inspects nonsignificant nondomestic dischargers, including 2 ground water remediation sites, 114 discharging auto shops, and 500 food service establishments. The City issues temporary permits to ground water remediation sites and inspects and samples them annually. The auto shops and restaurants are permitted, inspected, and sampled every 2 years. The City does not accept hauled waste at the publicly owned treatment works.

Port Hueneme Water Agency (desalter brine), the Naval Base Ventura County Point Mugu, the Nava Base Ventura County Port Hueneme, and the City of Oxnard (desalter brine) all discharge to the City's wastewater treatment plant, and, with the nondomestic dischargers in this jurisdiction, are managed through the City's pretreatment program.

In October 2017, the City submitted a new local limits study which sets the criteria which industries must meet to ensure water quality objectives will be achieved at the outfall and, especially during the production of recycled water. The document was reviewed by USEPA and approved by the Regional Board on December 14, 2017.

B. Discharge Points and Receiving Waters

1. After chlorination, the secondary treated effluent is routed to a blending manifold and mixed with brine from the AWPF and then is discharged to the Pacific Ocean through the City of Oxnard's Ocean Outfall (Refer to the Flow Schematic, Attachment C).

Table F-2. Outfall Description

Discharge Point Number	001
Diameter of Pipe at Discharge Terminus (feet)	4
Outfall Distance Offshore (feet)	5,950 (including a 1,016-foot diffuser section)

Discharge Point Number	001
Discharge Depth Below Surface Water (feet)	50.5
Latitude	34.1261°
Longitude	-119.1906°

2. The receiving water (Pacific Ocean) off Ormond Beach for the Oxnard WWTP discharge is part of the open coastline of the Regional Water Board-designated Ventura Coastal Watershed Management Area.

C. Summary of Existing Requirements and Self-Monitoring Report (SMR) Data.

Effluent limitations contained in the existing Order (Order R4-2013-0094) for discharge from Discharge Point 001 (Monitoring Location EFF-001A and EFF-001B) and representative monitoring data from the term of the previous Order are as follows:

Table F-3. Historic Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Data (Conventional/Non-Conventional Pollutants)

Parameter	Units	Effluent Limitation in Order R4-2013-0094				Monitoring Data (From August 2013 –December 2017²)		
		Average Monthly	Average Weekly	Maximum Daily	Instan- taneous Maximum	Highest Average Monthly Discharge	Highest Average Weekly Discharge	Highest Daily Discharge
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD520°C)	mg/L	30	45			35	44	93
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	mg/L	30	45			8.6	19	38
Oil & Grease	mg/L	25	40		75	5.5	5.5	5.5
Settleable Solids	mL/L	1.0	1.5		3.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
Nitrate-N	mg/L					1.9		1.9
Nitrite-N	mg/L					3.4		3.4
рН	pH Unit	6.0 (instantaneous minimum) – 9.0 (instantaneous maximum)				7.4	~~~	7.7
Temperature	°F			100		79		79
Turbidity	NTU	75	100		225	6.7		34.5

Order No R4-2013-0094 established effluent limitations for toxic pollutants based on water quality objectives in the Ocean Plan. A summary of existing effluent limitations and monitoring data of toxic pollutants for the period from August 2013 to December 2017 is shown below.

² Discharger effluent concentration data submitted with supplementary application information may vary from these values, which are calculated from daily data reported to CIWQS, because a shorter sampling period is represented in the Report of Waste Discharge.